UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,024	04/07/2004	Yuan-Kun Hsiao	VOSP0006USA	3023
	7590 03/12/200 RICA INTELLECTUA	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 506		EDUN, MOHAMMAD N		
MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 03/12/2007		FI FCTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 03/12/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/709,024	HSIAO, YUAN-KUN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	MUHAMMAD N. EDUN	2627
The MAILING DATE of this communicat	ion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
eriod for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. The period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONIC by statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
atus		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 01 December 2006	
	☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice u	•	
sposition of Claims	, p	
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the appl	•	e.
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6,7,11-15 and</u>	1/ is/are withdrawn from conside	eration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5,8-10,16 and 18-20</u> is/are re	ejected.	·
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	•
pplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to b	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
 Certified copies of the priority doc 	uments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in Ap	oplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	ne priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	r a list of the certified copies not r	received.
•	•	
tachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date formal Patent Application
V STRUMENTARION CASCOSTOR STRUCTURED STRUCTURED IN A TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE STRUCTURED STRUCTURED IN A TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE STRUCTURED STRU	3/ 🗀 1401106 01 111	ionnari atontripphoation

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of the species of Fig. 6 (directed to claims 1-5, 8-10, 16 and 18-20) in the reply filed on 12/01/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 6, 7, 11-15 and 17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 12/01/06, as noted above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-5, 8-10, 16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-5, and 8-10 are indefinite because in claims 1 and 8 it is not clear as to how or what the bias circuit is connected to. Further, there is no indication in the claims

Application/Control Number: 10/709,024

Art Unit: 2627

that recites how the bias circuit function or operates with respect to the other elements in the device. Clarification is required.

Claims 16 and 18-20 are indefinite because in claim 16 it is not clear at to what the first output signal is connected to or outputted to. Further it is not clear as to how the holding step contributes to method for slicing the spike signal. Clarification is required.

The dependent claims are also rejected because they include language of their respective base claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 16, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tanaka (6,956,800).

Tanaka discloses the invention as claimed. Fig. 7 show the device for slicing a signal having: the peak hold circuit (71d), the low-pass filter (71f), the comparator (72)

Application/Control Number: 10/709,024

Art Unit: 2627

electrically connected to the low pass filter, the comparator for comparing the spike signal with the slice level signal to the spike signal; and the bias circuit (taken to be the VCA 71a) for providing a voltage to raise voltage level of the signal inputted into the bias circuit, as set forth in claims 1, 16, 18 and 20. See the description of the apparatus and figures for further details relating to the limitations as set forth in the claims.

Claims 16, 18 and 20 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mashimo et al. (US 2003/0081531).

Mashimo et al. also discloses the invention as claimed. Figs. 6 and 11 show the device for slicing a spike signal having: the peak hold circuit (208); the low-pass filter (inherently included in the band pass filter BPF 211); and comparator (207) for comparing the spike signal with a slice level signal for slicing the spike signal, as set forth in claims 16, 18 and 20. See also the description of the apparatus and figures for further details relating to the limitations as set forth in the claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Fuji et al. (4,999,824) discloses a slice circuit having a peak hold circuit, a LPF and a comparator.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,024

Art Unit: 2627

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MUHAMMAD N. EDUN whose telephone number is 571-272-7617. The examiner can normally be reached on FLEXITIME.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> **MUHAMMAD N EDUN** Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2627